

ROLE OF NOSE BREATHING IN GENIOGLOSSUS MUSCLE RESPONSE TO HYPOXIA IN OLDER AND YOUNGER SUBJECTS

M. Tafil-Klawe¹, J. J. Klawe²

¹Department of Physiology and ²Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Bydgoszcz Medical University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

Several studies showed that nasal airway is an active component of the respiratory system. Clinical data suggest that nasal obstruction causes episodes of obstructive apnea or hypopnea. In the present study, a possible influence of breathing through the nose on genioglossus muscle (GG, dilator of upper airway) reactivity to hypoxic activation was studied. Two groups of 20-30 years and 41-55 years old, 35 healthy subjects each, were investigated. The GG-EMG-activity was recorded and analyzed during progressive normocapnic hypoxia. The subjects breathed through the nose (N) or mouth (M) alone and through the nose and mouth (N&M). Significantly smaller increases in the GG-EMG-activity in response to hypoxia were observed during M breathing, as compared with N and M&N breathings, in both groups. The older subjects also showed a reduced response of GG-muscle to hypoxia, which was most pronounced during M breathing. We suggest that breathing through the nose activates the dilator muscle of upper airways, preventing apnea events.

Key words: *age, genioglossus muscle, hypoxia, mouth breathing, nose breathing*

INTRODUCTION

Mouth breathing indicates some airflow problems. Any abnormality or combination of abnormalities along the way from the nares to the choanae and nasopharynx may lead to additional problems for the sleep apneic patients (1). Fixed obstructions such as nasal, septal and turbinate deformities are the most obvious. But dynamic obstructions, such as vasomotor or allergic rhinitis, also require consideration. Thus, nasal conditions can play an important role in the pathophysiology of obstructive sleep apnea and snoring. Nasal obstruction is often dismissed as an etiologic factor because of the erroneous assumption that one merely has to breathe through the mouth and can bypass any potential nasal obstruction (2). Although the nasal airway accounts for half of the total respiratory resistance, human prefer to breathe through the nose. Most persons are familiar with the restless, uncomfortable sleep and dry mouth that result from nasal obstruction due to an upper respiratory infection. Olsen wrote: "because of sleep disturbances, many persons use nasal sprays or other medication to obtain a patent nasal airway during sleep" (2). Experimental clasp of the nose during sleep (by placing cotton in the nasal vestibule) and breathing through the mouth provokes sleep disturbances in healthy subjects: increase in stage 1 NREM sleep (light sleep) duration and occurrence of obstructive apnea events (3). Persons with nasal obstruction awake more often and have loud snoring. Although they breathe through the mouth (the oral airway has less resistance), intrathoracic negative pressure during inspiration is increased, compared with breathing through the nose, and there appear conditions for

obturation events in the oropharynx (3). Taasan et al. (4) studied patients after nasal surgery, when their noses were occluded with packing, and found that nasal packing causes or worsens sleep disordered breathing. Bilateral and unilateral nasal obstruction also caused a significant increase in the number of apneic episodes in a study by Lavie et al (5) who found disordered breathing, characterized by episodes of hypopnea during sleep, in all patients with allergic rhinitis. McNicholas et al. (6) investigated patients who had more frequent and longer episodes of obstructive sleep apnea during allergic periods than when they had no nasal symptoms. One patient had sleep apnea solely on the basis of an allergic reaction to his wife's nighttime cosmetics.

A basic question is: Why does mouth breathing not ease nocturnal respiration? What is the mechanism underlying observations that nasal obstruction causes episodes of obstructive apnea (or hypopnea) when the subject has a patent oral airway? In the present study we investigated the possible influence of breathing through the nose on genioglossus muscle reactivity to chemical stimulation in progressive hypoxia conditions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The populations of 35 younger subjects [G-I, 20-30 years old] and 35 older subjects [G-II, 41-55 years old], who volunteered for the study, were investigated. All subjects were healthy, normotensive, non-snorers, but the older subjects showed greater resting values of systolic (SYS), diastolic (DIAS) blood pressures and body mass index (BMI) (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Age, systolic (SYS) and diastolic (DIAS) blood pressure, and body mass index (BMI) in both investigated groups: G-I (younger subjects) and G-II (older subjects).

GG-EMG activity and hypoxic response

An electromyogram from the genioglossus muscle (GG-EMG) was recorded from gold cup surface electrodes. The electrodes were attached to the skin using double-sided adhesive disks and collodion. The GG electrodes were positioned in the midline 1 cm apart and halfway between the chin and the hyoid bone (7). The raw EMG signal was amplified 100 to 1000 times with 30 and 1000 Hz low- and high-frequency filters and integrated with a RC circuit. The integrator band was 70 to 1500 Hz.

In order to activate the arterial chemoreceptors, the progressive normo- and isocapnic hypoxia test was used. Progressive hypoxia was induced by a rebreathing method (8), using an MES-Lungtest-System (MES, Cracow, Poland). A mask covering the nose and mouth, nose or mouth, separately, was connected to the instrument setup. End-tidal CO₂ was continuously monitored, as was arterial O₂ saturation (SaO₂) with a finger oximeter.

Protocol

All measurements were done in awake subjects in the sitting position. Subjects were allowed to breathe quietly through the apparatus until the end-tidal CO₂ stabilized. Measurements were obtained during a 1-min baseline period and during progressive normocapnic hypoxia. The rebreathing test was performed three times by each subject when he breathed separately through the nose alone (N), through the mouth alone (M), and during the combined nose and mouth breathing (N&M), in a voluntary order. During the test, end-tidal CO₂ remained within 0.2% of the baseline value. During baseline time and hypoxia test, breath-by-breath values of SaO₂, changes in inspiratory drive and in GG-EMG-activity were determined and analyzed for the groups G-I and G-II. The inspiratory drive was estimated from the continuous determinations of minute ventilation (MV) and tidal volume/inspiratory time (VT/TI) ratio. Slopes of the regression curves MV/SaO₂ (l/min/%), VT/TI/SaO₂ (l/min/%), and GG-EMG-activity/SaO₂ (%of baseline/%) were compared in both groups for the three different experimental conditions: N, M, and N&M breathings. Values are expressed as means \pm SE. Student's t-test was used for the statistical analysis.

RESULTS

The values of both MV and VT/TI did not differ in the two investigated groups during the baseline control time (1 min before the rebreathing test). All subjects showed a linear increase in MV, VT/TI and in GG-EMG-activity in response to progressive isocapnic hypoxia. The increases in MV and VT/TI did not differ during N, M, N&M breathing in each group, but in the older group (G-II) these increases were significantly reduced in comparison with the younger group (G-I) (($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2. Linear regression slopes of MV and VT/TI on SaO₂ during nose and mouth (N&M), nose alone (N), and mouth alone (M) breathing in G-I (left) and G-II (right) groups.

Fig. 3 shows the linear relationships between the arterial oxygen saturation and GG-EMG-activity during the three ways of breathing employed. In both younger (G-I; Fig. 3A) and older (G-II; Fig. 3B) subject groups, the most prominent increases in GG-activity in response to hypoxia occurred during the nose and the combined nose and mouth breathing paradigm, whereas during the mouth breathing the increases were smaller ($P < 0.01$). There also were significant differences between the young and old groups in that the responses were reduced in the older group across all the experimental conditions ($P < 0.01$).




Fig. 3. Increases in GG-EMG-activity during progressive isocapnic hypoxia during the nose, mouth, and nose+mouth ways of breathing in the groups of younger (Panel A) and older (Panel B) subjects. Lines are linear regression slopes of EMG activity on arterial oxygen saturation.

DISCUSSION

Olsen et al (3) have proposed a possible explanation for the differences observed between oral and nasal airway resistances. Their results suggested that the oral airway resistance is greater than that of the nasal airway when the patient is asleep. "During sleep, the nasal airway seems to be the preferred route of respiration. Oral breathing appears to be a high-resistance pathway during sleep" (3). When a total nasal obstruction occurs, the inspiratory effort is greater for the breathing to occur across the more resistive oral pathway. It follows that the oral airway seems to be an ineffective channel during sleep.

Our results suggest an additional explanation. Linear increases in GG-EMG- activity in response to progressive isocapnic hypoxia in human subjects have been previously described (9, 10). In the present study, the most eminent increase in GG-EMG-activity in response to a rebreathing test was observed during breathing through the nose, with mouth closed, or through the mouth and nose simultaneously. This phenomenon was found in both younger and older subjects, although the reflex genioglossal response was smaller in the old, similarly to our previous study. Thus, nasal mucosa seems to play an important role in the regulation of upper airway flow, probably by activation of the dilator muscle of the upper airway. Mathew et al (10) showed that the response of upper airway muscles to negative pharyngeal pressure is abolished when nasopharyngeal topical anesthesia is administered. Several other studies indicated that the nasal airway is an active component of the respiratory system. Hypercapnia decreases nasal airway resistance proportionally to the increase in minute ventilation (11). Nasal cycle (alternating congestion and decongestion of the nasal airway) is another important aspect of nasal physiology (12, 13). Nasal reflexes have also been clearly demonstrated (14). Nasal obstruction changes the sensing of airflow and pressure, which may disrupt the neural and muscular control mechanisms for the maintenance of respiration during sleep (2). The nasal airway seems to be the preferred route of respiration not only in the awake state but also, and even more so, during sleep.

A number of animal studies have demonstrated that upper airway dilator muscles can be activated through reflex mechanisms, with afferent pathways originating in the upper airway itself (15, 16). Similar observations have been made in normal adult humans during wakefulness (17). In the genioglossus muscle, there also are both excitatory and inhibitory reflexes linked to jaw opening and closing, with the afferents responding to information arising in joint receptors in the temporomandibular joint (18). As the jaw falls open (during a sleep-induced loss of postural drive to the muscles), it rotates, so that the tongue moves posteriorly, narrowing the oropharynx. Furthermore, passive and active jaw openings inhibit genioglossus activity (19), a reflex that plays an important role in mastication that is activated by temporomandibular joint afferents. It seems reasonable to speculate that this reflex can explain smaller responses of the genioglossus muscle to hypoxia during mouth breathing, found in the present study. This reflex may likely contribute to obstructive apneas, since jaw opening during sleep is common in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Additionally, it may contribute through a reduction of reflex activation of the genioglossus muscle, as compared with breathing through the nose. However, the role of this mechanism in the maintenance of upper airway muscle tone during sleep is yet unclear.

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by KBN grant Nr 4P05B07010.

REFERENCES

1. Willard BM. Jr. Obstructive sleep apnea: diagnosis by history, physical examination and special studies. In Snoring and Obstructive Sleep Apnea, DNF Fairbanks, S Fujita, T Ikematsu, FB Simmons (eds). New York, Raven Press 1987, pp. 19-39.
2. Olsen KD. The nose and its impact on snoring and obstructive sleep apnea. In Snoring and Obstructive Sleep Apnea, DNF Fairbanks, S Fujita, T Ikematsu, FB Simmons (eds). New York, Raven Press 1987, pp. 200-226.
3. Olsen KD, Suh KW, Staats BA. Surgically correctable causes of sleep apnea syndrome. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1981; 89: 726-731.
4. Taasan V, Wynne JW, Cassisi N, Block AJ. The effect of nasal packing on sleep disordered breathing and nocturnal oxygen desaturation. *Laryngoscope* 1981; 91: 1163-1172.

5. Lavie P, Fischel N, Zomer J, Eliaschar I. The effects of partial and complete mechanical occlusion of the nasal passages on sleep structure and breathing in sleep. *Acta Otolaryngol* 1983; 95: 161-166.
6. McNicholas WT, Tarlo S, Cole P et al. Obstructive apneas during sleep in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1982; 126: 625-628.
7. Jeffries BJ, Brouillette RT, Hunt CE. Electromyographic study of some accessory muscles of respiration in children with obstructive sleep apnea. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984; 129: 696-702.
8. Read DJC, Leigh J. Blood-brain tissue PCO₂ relationship and ventilation during rebreathing. *J Appl Physiol* 1967; 23: 53-70.
9. Tafil-Klawe M, Klawe JJ, Grote L, Schneider H, Peter JH, Ćemietanowski M. Changes in upper airway resistance during progressive normocapnic hypoxia in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep Res* 1995; 4(S1): 208-213.
10. Mathew OP, Abu-Osba YK, Thach BT. Influence of upper airway pressure changes on genioglossus muscle respiratory activity. *J Appl Physiol* 1982; 52: 438-444.
11. McCaffrey TV, Kern EB. Response of nasal airway resistance to hypercapnia and hypoxia in man. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 1979; 88: 247-252.
12. Hasegawa M, Kern EB. The nasal cycle. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1977; 52: 28-34.
13. Tafil-Klawe M, Hildebrandt G. Do changes of microvascular blood flow of nasal mucosa play a role in occurrence of the laterality rhythm of nasal breathing? In *Chronobiology & Chronomedicine*. C Gutenbrunner, G Hildebrandt, R Moog (eds). Peter Lang Frankfurt am Main, Berlin, New York, Paris, Wien 1991, pp. 320-324.
14. Angell James JE, Daly M de B. Nasal reflexes. *Proc R Soc Med* 1969; 62: 1287-1293.
15. Van Lunteren E, Van de Graaff WB, Parker DM et al. Nasal and laryngeal reflex responses to negative upper airway pressure. *J Appl Physiol* 1984; 6: 746-752.
16. McNamara SG, Issa FG, Szeto E, Sullivan CE. Influence of negative pressure applied to the upper airway on the breathing pattern in unanaesthetized dogs. *Respir Physiol* 1986; 65: 315-329.
17. Horner RL, Innes JA, Murphy K, Guz A. Evidence for reflex upper airway dilator muscle activation by sudden negative airway pressure in man. *J Physiol (London)* 1991; 436: 15-29.
18. Cistulli P, Sullivan CE. Pathophysiology of sleep apnea. In *Sleep and Breathing*. NA Saunders, CE Sullivan (eds). New York, Marcel Dekker 1994, pp. 405-448.
19. Sauerland EK, Mizuno N. A protective mechanism for the tongue: suppression of genioglossus activity induced by stimulation of the trigeminal proprioceptive afferents. *Experimentia* 1970; 26: 1226-1227.